

CONFIDENTIAL



Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 28 February 1969

Page 4



Later, I stopped in and spoke to Sourwine for the purpose of obtaining any material which he may have setting forth the views of Senator John McClellan (D., Ark.) on the Communist threat. Sourwine was unable to provide any such material.

I also spoke to him about S. 782. Sourwine felt we would need all the help we can get on the Senate side but thought it was a lost cause and that the bill would have to be defeated in the House. He said he knows personally of several senators who are concerned with the security aspects of the bill and who approached Senator Ervin in an effort to correct these problems.



CONFIDENTIAL

27 February 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: S. 782

1. I spent one-half hour today with Kenneth E. BeLieu, a Deputy Assistant to the President for Congressional Relations, discussing strategy and tactics in regard to S. 782. I told him of our meeting with Senator Ervin and that there was obviously no hope of progress there. I said that since Senator Ervin had made such a point of the fact that last year we had not even asked for a hearing, we were going to submit a letter to him as Chairman of the Subcommittee asking for a hearing in executive session. I said that Senator Eastland had approved doing this. Mr. BeLieu agreed and suggested that we refer to the practice of appearing before our own Subcommittees only in executive session. I said the Director was also thinking of a letter to the President, and Mr. BeLieu, after some hesitation, agreed that such a letter should be written but delivered to him.

2. Mr. BeLieu asked about the Civil Service Commission and I said I understood Mr. Robert E. Hampton, the Chairman, was against the bill. I told him I had talked to their General Counsel, Mr. Anthony L. Mondello, who was very much opposed to the bill and thought he could do some work with Senator Kennedy's staff. Thereupon Mr. BeLieu called Mr. Hampton, and it was obvious they were in agreement as to their opposition to the bill. Mr. BeLieu suggested to Mr. Hampton that every effort should be made to have general executive branch opposition to the bill and take CIA and the other intelligence components out of the lime-light. Apparently Mr. Hampton agreed, and they also agreed to take a sounding within the next week or so of such Senators as:

Everett M. Dirksen
Roman L. Hruska
Hugh Scott
Robert C. Byrd
Edward M. Kennedy

and then, according to what developed, they would plan a further course of action.

3. Mr. BeLieu told me he would like to see letters to Senators Eastland and Ervin from the heads of all departments opposing the bill. I asked who we should be in touch with in the Bureau of the Budget, and Mr. BeLieu said to let that rest until it became an issue.

4. I told Mr. BeLieu I was trying to schedule a meeting with appropriate people in the Department of Justice to assure we had their support on the legal aspects. He agreed with this. I also told him we were going to brief Senator McClelland on Saturday morning, 1 March, and he said he would be most interested in his reaction.

5. Mr. BeLieu wanted a current list of the members of our Subcommittees in the Senate, and Mr. Maury's office has been requested to furnish this.

s/

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON
General Counsel

cc: Executive Director
DDS
Legislative Counsel